Diagnose eAssessment Team Practices, Before Evaluating the Intervention!

James Callan

School of Management & Marketing Central Queensland University

Scott Richardson

School of Management & Marketing Central Queensland University

Patrick Keleher

School of Engineering & Built Environment Central Queensland University

Colin Beer

Office of Learning and Teaching Central Queensland University

Abstract

Grading integrity hinges on effective implementation of evaluation systems, standards, and benchmarks. Inculcating eAssessment systems to elaborate fidelity in grading and moderation processes offers a crucial opportunity to overthrow conventional impediments to the grading, feedback and moderation cycle. The fact that eAssessment challenges existing assumptions, principles and policies needs to be welcomed as an opportunity to overthrow the limitations that adversely influence fidelity in assessment systems, and embed a change in culture by renegotiating values, practices, and systems of organising. A system-level approach to enshrine the wholesale diagnosis of academic concerns about the adoption of eAssessment practices and consensus moderation derives from preliminary findings of a pilot study into team-based eAssessment activity across 3 schools at Central Queensland University in 2011. The findings highlight the disparity in understanding of fidelity during the move to adopt eAssessment procedures, and that such an impasse risked destabilising relations, and imposed an undue burden of responsibility upon course convenors. This presentation into eAssessment advocates the installation of the Concerns-Based Adoption Model to manage change intervention. Disguised (verbatim) accounts of concerns during the study highlight momentsof-truth that call for the use of a valid diagnostic approach to configure eAssessment and consensus moderation as practiced innovations.

Keywords

eAssessment Teams, Implementation Measurement, Program Evaluation, Concerns-Based Adoption Model

References

Carter, DSG & O'Neill, MH 1995, International perspectives on educational reform and policy implementation / editors, David S.G. Carter and Marnie H. O'Neill, London; Washington, D.C.: Falmer Press, 1995.

George, AA, Hall, GE, et. al. 2006, Measuring implementation in schools: the stages of concern questionnaire, SEDL, Austin, TX.

- Hall, GE & Hord, SM 2006, *Implementing change: patterns, principles, and potholes*, 2nd edn, Pearson/Allyn & Bacon, Boston.
- Hall, GE, Dirksen, DJ, et. al. 2006, Measuring implementation in schools: levels of use, SEDL, Austin, TX.
- Hargreaves, DJ 1997, 'Student learning and assessment are inextricably linked', *Engineering Education*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 401-9.
- Hartman, NS & Conklin, TA 2009, 'Music as a mirror: the "choosing my personal theme song" exercise', *Organization Management Journal*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 48-57, (online bth).
- Hollingshead, B 2009, 'The concerns-based adoption model: a framework for examining implementation of a character education program', *NASSP Bulletin*, vol. 93, no. 3, p. 166, (online f5h).
- Hord, SM, Stiegelbauer, SM, et. al. 2006, Measuring implementation in schools: the stages of concern questionnaire, SEDL, Austin, TX.
- Meyers, NM & Nulty, DD 2009, 'How to use (Five) curriculum design principles to align authentic learning environments, assessment, students' approaches to thinking and learning outcomes', *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 565-77, (online psyh).
- Miller, LE 2009, 'Evidence-based instruction: a classroom experiment comparing nominal and brainstorming groups', *Organization Management Journal*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 229-38, (online bth).
- Nulty, DD 2011, Consensus Moderation for Quality Assurance of Assessment: Overcoming the illusion of consensus., Newport, Wales, 7-9 December.
- ---- 2011, 'Quality Assurance of Assessment Through Consensus Moderation: A reporting framework for institutional engagement', paper presented to *Annual Conference for The Society for Research in Higher Education*, Newport, Wales, pp. 7-9 December.
- ---- 2012, Assuring Academic Standards by Building Trust in Academics' Judgements: Development and implementation of an institution-wide framework., Dublin, 16-18 April.
- Price, M 2005, 'Assessment standards: the role of communities of practice and the scholarship of assessment.', *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 215-30.
- Sadler, DR 2005, 'Interpretations of criteria-based assessment and grading in higher education', *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 175-94, (online psyh).
- ---- 2010, 'Fidelity as a precondition for integrity in grading academic achievement', *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, vol. 35, no. 6, pp. 727-43, (online ehh).
- Willey, K & Gardner, A 2010a, 'Investigating the capacity of self and peer assessment activities to engage students and promote learning.', *European Journal of Engineering Education*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 429-43.
- Willey, K & A., G 2010b, Improving the standard and consistency of multi-tutor grading in large classes, Assessment: Sustainability, Diversity and Innovation, University of Technology Sydney, 18 & 19 November.