

AN EXPERT SYSTEM FOR MAKING FERTILIZER RECOMMENDATIONS

A thesis
in fulfillment of requirements for the degree of
Master of Applied Science

by

Garry Desmond Fullelove

August, 1996

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTING
FACULTY OF APPLIED SCIENCE
CENTRAL QUEENSLAND UNIVERSITY

ABSTRACT

Developing fertilizer recommendations based on the results of soil analysis is recognized as a standard practice in horticultural crops. The manual process requires expert knowledge and can be tedious and time consuming. This thesis reports the results of research undertaken to develop an expert system for making fertilizer recommendations. Study objectives were to explore and describe methods for the implementation of the interpretation model and the generation of fertilizer recommendations using a user interface that is highly interactive and effective as well as demonstrating the commercial feasibility of such a system. Incremental prototype development occurred both in the DOS and Windows environment, with the Windows version being more commercially acceptable and maintainable than the DOS version. The review of the prototypes by a small team of domain experts proved to be a successful method for iterating through the prototype development system life cycle. At the core of the system is a knowledge base maintained in tabular format and a linear model which resolves an optimum fertilizer recommendation solution that meets certain goals while satisfying a set of constraints. The provision of mixed initiative dialogues that support user exploration of the solution space using the results from the linear model is also reported. Pilot commercialization through a jointly funded project by the co-operating fertilizer company, Incitec and the Horticultural Research and Development Corporation was successfully undertaken and full commercialization is being funded fully by Incitec. Results from this study indicated that the system developed was commercially acceptable as a delivery platform for fertilizer recommendations.

AN EXPERT SYSTEM FOR MAKING
FERTILIZER RECOMMENDATIONS

by

Garry Fullelove

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|------------|
| CHAPTER 1 | 1 |
| 1. INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| CHAPTER 2 | 5 |
| 2. LITERATURE REVIEW - KNOWLEDGE BASED SYSTEMS IN AGRICULTURE | 5 |
| 2.1 <i>Summary</i> | 5 |
| 2.2 <i>Introduction</i> | 5 |
| 2.3 <i>Expert Systems in Agriculture</i> | 8 |
| 2.3.1 <i>Overview</i> | 8 |
| 2.3.2 <i>Systems Review</i> | 20 |
| 2.4 <i>System Development Methodologies</i> | 25 |
| 2.4.1 <i>Problem Description / Knowledge Acquisition</i> | 26 |
| 2.4.2 <i>Knowledge Representation</i> | 30 |
| 2.5 <i>User Interface Design and Explanation Facilities</i> | 39 |
| 2.6 <i>Development Tool Selection</i> | 51 |
| 2.7 <i>Summary</i> | 58 |
| CHAPTER 3 | 60 |
| 3. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES AND HYPOTHESES | 60 |
| CHAPTER 4 | 72 |
| 4. RESEARCH METHODS, TOOLS AND DEVELOPMENT HISTORY | 72 |
| 4.1 <i>Introduction</i> | 72 |
| 4.2 <i>Expert Selection</i> | 72 |
| 4.3 <i>End-User Involvement</i> | 73 |
| 4.4 <i>Tool Selection</i> | 74 |
| 4.5 <i>Problem Dissection / Provision of User Dialogues</i> | 82 |
| 4.6 <i>DOS Prototype</i> | 91 |
| 4.7 <i>Windows Prototype</i> | 92 |
| 4.8 <i>Commercialization</i> | 93 |
| CHAPTER 5 | 95 |
| 5. IMPLEMENTATION AND SYSTEM OVERVIEW | 95 |
| 5.1 <i>System design and overview</i> | 95 |
| 5.2 <i>DOS prototype</i> | 96 |
| 5.3 <i>Windows Prototype</i> | 111 |
| CHAPTER 6 | 125 |
| 6. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 125 |
| 6.1 <i>Evaluation Procedures</i> | 125 |
| 6.2 <i>Interpretation Model</i> | 128 |
| 6.2 <i>Fertilizer recommendations</i> | 134 |
| 6.3 <i>User Interface</i> | 136 |
| 6.4 <i>Commercialization</i> | 138 |
| CHAPTER 7 | 139 |
| 7. CONCLUSIONS | 139 |
| GLOSSARY | 144 |
| BIBLIOGRAPHY | 149 |
| APPENDIX ONE | 163 |
| APPENDIX TWO | 179 |

| | |
|----------------------|-----|
| APPENDIX THREE | 201 |
| APPENDIX FOUR | 204 |
| APPENDIX FIVE | 214 |
| APPENDIX SIX | 236 |
| APPENDIX SEVEN..... | 252 |
| APPENDIX EIGHT..... | 265 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | |
|---|-----|
| FIGURE 2.1 AUBURN UNIVERSITY'S COMPUTERIZED SOIL TEST REPORT FORM. | 7 |
| FIGURE 2.2 TREE DIAGRAM FOR PEST SHOWING THE SEQUENCE OF RULES USED DURING A CONSULTATION (FROM: PASQUAL, G.M. AND MANSFIELD, J. 1988). | 21 |
| FIGURE 2.3 STRUCTURE OF THE SUGAR BEET HERBICIDE SYSTEM (FROM: EDWARD-JONES, G. ET.AL., 1992) | 23 |
| FIGURE 2.4 STRUCTURE OF LUCVAR (FROM: LODGE, G.M. AND FRECKER, T.C., 1989)..... | 24 |
| FIGURE 2.5 A PROTOTYPING METHODOLOGY AS DESCRIBED BY WEITZEL AND KERSCHBERG (1989)..... | 27 |
| FIGURE 2.6 COMPONENTS OF AN EXPERT SYSTEM PRODUCT THAT CAN BE QUANTITATIVELY EVALUATED (FROM: ZAHEDI (1990)) | 54 |
| FIGURE 4.1 EXAMPLE OF TABULAR DATA ENTRY AND PRESENTATION DEVELOPED IN THE DOS VERSION OF SADI. | 78 |
| FIGURE 4.2 A BUTTON COMPONENT IN EXCEL5™ THAT HAS BEEN DROPPED FROM THE TOOLBAR AND IS HIGHLIGHTED READY TO BE SIZED AND PLACED USING DRAG AND DROP MOUSE ACTIONS. | 79 |
| FIGURE 4.3 THE MAIN PIECE OF CODE THAT CONTROLS DATA ENTRY IN THE PROLOG DOS PROTOTYPE. | 85 |
| FIGURE 5.1 THE MAIN MENU SCREEN USED IN THE SADI DOS PROTOTYPE..... | 97 |
| FIGURE 5.2 SAMPLE PROLOG IMPLEMENTATION OF THE EVENT DRIVEN USER INTERFACE. | 98 |
| FIGURE 5.3 AN EXAMPLE OF KNOWLEDGE BASE DESIGN USED IN THE DOS PROTOTYPE..... | 101 |
| FIGURE 5.4 THE PROLOG IMPLEMENTATION OF THE OPTIMIZATION MODEL. | 107 |
| FIGURE 5.5 SCREEN DESIGN USED TO IMPLEMENT THE LINEAR MODEL TO MAKE FERTILIZER RECOMMENDATIONS. | 108 |
| FIGURE 5.6 THE SCREEN DESIGN USED TO DISPLAY THE EXPERT OPINIONS (NOTES) TO THE USER..... | 109 |
| FIGURE 5.7 THE MAIN SCREEN IN THE DISCONTINUED SECOND DOS VERSION OF SADI NAMED FERTEX. | 111 |
| FIGURE 5.8 THE MAIN MENU SCREEN OF THE WINDOWS PROTOTYPE OF SADI. | 113 |
| FIGURE 5.9 EXAMPLE OF A DIALOG BOX USED IN SADI | 113 |
| FIGURE 5.10 IMPLEMENTATION OF DATA ENTRY AND INTERPRETATION TASKS IN SADI. | 114 |
| FIGURE 5.11 MACRO CODE THAT CAPTURES DATA ENTRY AND INVOKES THE INTERPRETATION TASK..... | 115 |
| FIGURE 5.12 EXAMPLE OF THE LOOKUP TABLE USED IN EXCEL5™ FOR THE STRUCTURE OF THE KNOWLEDGE BASE | 116 |
| FIGURE 5.13 AN EXAMPLE OF MENU CUES MANAGING THE NAVIGATION OF THE SYSTEM BY THE USER..... | 118 |
| FIGURE 5.14 THE RECOMMENDATION SCREEN OF THE WINDOWS PROTOTYPE | 119 |
| FIGURE 5.15 USER INTERFACE IMPLEMENTING THE FERTILIZER STRATEGY IN THE WINDOWS PROTOTYPE..... | 120 |
| FIGURE 5.16 SYSTEM DIALOG DISPLAYED WHEN INTEGRITY TEST FAILED | 122 |
| FIGURE 6.1 AN EXTRACT FROM THE PUBLISHED INTERPRETATION CHARTS USED BY INCITEC SHOWING THE NON-LINEAR REPRESENTATION OF A CROPS FERTILIZER RESPONSE CURVE. | 129 |
| FIGURE A1.1. SAMPLE "SOIL ANALYSIS REPORTS" DETAILING THE SOIL TEST VALUES DETERMINED FOR THAT SAMPLE AND CORRESPONDING "SOIL INTERPRETATION AND RECOMMENDATIONS REPORTS" (FOLLOWING). | 168 |
| FIGURE A1.2. THE "INTERPRETATION TABLE" FOR BEANS TO BE GROWN ON ALLUVIAL SOILS IN QUEENSLAND AS PUBLISHED BY INCITEC (FOLLOWING). | 173 |
| FIGURE A1.3. THE FERTILIZER PRODUCT GUIDE DETAILING INCITEC'S FERTILIZER PRODUCT RANGE (FOLLOWING). | 176 |
| FIGURE A5.1 FIRST OF THREE INTRODUCTORY SCREENS WHEN SADI IS FIRST STARTED. | 215 |
| FIGURE A5.2 SECOND OF THREE INTRODUCTORY SCREENS WHEN SADI IS FIRST STARTED. | 215 |
| FIGURE A5.3 THIRD OF THREE INTRODUCTORY SCREENS WHEN SADI IS FIRST STARTED. | 216 |
| FIGURE A5.4 THE SADI MAIN MENU. NOTE HOW THE "INTERPRET" AND "RECOMMEND" OPTIONS ARE UNAVAILABLE SINCE NO PRODUCTS, DATA, OR CHART HAVE BEEN SELECTED AS SOON NEAR BOTTOM OF SCREEN. | 216 |
| FIGURE A5.5 MENU LIST OF DATA FILES AVAILABLE TO USE OR A NEW FILE COULD HAVE BEEN CREATED. | 217 |
| FIGURE A5.6 HAVING SELECTED A DATA FILE AS SHOWN IN LOWER SCREEN, USER NOW GIVEN OPTION TO VIEW/EDIT THE DATA. | 217 |
| FIGURE A5.7 VIEWING/EDITING THE PHYSICAL SOIL DATA | 218 |
| FIGURE A5.8 ESCAPE TAKES THE USER BACK TO THE VIEW/EDIT OPTION OF THE CHEMICAL DATA..... | 218 |
| FIGURE A5.9 VIEWING/EDITING THE CHEMICAL SOIL DATA. | 219 |
| FIGURE A5.10 ESCAPE TAKES THE USER BACK TO THE MAIN MENU TO SELECT AN "INTERPRETATION CHART". | 219 |
| FIGURE A5.11 AS WITH THE SOIL DATA FILES, A MENU LIST OF AVAILABLE CHARTS IS PROVIDED FOR THE USER TO CHOOSE FROM. | 220 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| FIGURE A5.12 WITH SOIL DATA LOADED AND A CHART SELECTED THE “INTERPRET” OPTION IS NOW AVAILABLE TO BE UNDERTAKEN AS THE NEXT STEP IN THE PROCESS..... | 220 |
| FIGURE A5.13 THE FIRST SCREEN (TOP OF REPORT) OF THE INTERPRETATION REPORT. NOTE HOW THE INTERPRETATION PROCESS ALSO AUTOMATICALLY SELECTED 6 FERTILIZER PRODUCTS..... | 221 |
| FIGURE A5.14 THE BODY OF THE INTERPRETATION REPORT SHOWING THE NUTRIENT, ITS SOIL TEST LEVEL, A COMMENT ON THAT LEVEL (STATUS) AND THE INTERPRETED ELEMENTAL REQUIREMENT WITH TIMING OF APPLICATION INFORMATION..... | 221 |
| FIGURE A5.15 FOLLOWING THE INTERPRETATION PHASE THE USER CAN NOW SELECT / UNSELECT A RANGE OF FERTILIZER PRODUCTS. BECAUSE 6 HAVE BEEN SELECTED ALREADY THE “RECOMMEND” TASK IS NOW AVAILABLE ON THE MAIN MENU..... | 222 |
| FIGURE A5.16 PRODUCT NAMES AND THEIR NUTRIENT CONTENTS ARE LISTED IN A DROP DOWN MENU FOR USERS TO SELECT FROM. SELECTED PRODUCTS ARE INDICATED BY A TICK ON THE RIGHT MARGIN OF THE MENU BOX, FOR EXAMPLE “55”. | 222 |
| FIGURE A5.17 TO SELECT A PRODUCT, THE KEYBOARD ARROW KEYS MOVE THE HIGHLIGHT BAR TO THE PRODUCT AND PRESSING THE ENTER KEY TICKS IT AS BEING SELECTED. | 223 |
| FIGURE A5.18 NOW WITH 7 PRODUCTS SELECTED, THE RECOMMENDATION PHASE CAN BE UNDERTAKEN..... | 223 |
| FIGURE A5.19 THE RECOMMENDATION MENU WITH THE “SIDE-DRESSING” OPTION UNAVAILABLE SINCE A BASAL RECOMMENDATION HAS NOT YET BEEN MADE. | 224 |
| FIGURE A5.20 THE RESULTS OF THE FIRST RECOMMENDATION TASK, A LIME RECOMMENDATION SHOWING THE BASIS OF THE RECOMMENDATION AND THE RECOMMENDATION ITSELF. | 224 |
| FIGURE A5.21 SELECTING THE “AUTOMATIC BASAL” OPTION WILL GENERATE A BEST FIT RECOMMENDATION USING ONE PRODUCT FROM THE RANGE SELECTED USING THE UNDERLYING LINEAR MODEL TO OPTIMIZE THE RECOMMENDATION. | 225 |
| FIGURE A5.22 THE NUTRIENT BALANCE SHEET AS A RESULT OF GENERATING A BASAL RECOMMENDATION AUTOMATICALLY. THE NOTES COLUMN IS A SUMMARY OF MORE IN DEPTH ADVICE FOUND BY PRESSING F6..... | 225 |
| FIGURE A5.23 PRESSING ENTER DISPLAYS THE FERTILIZER PRODUCT MENU AND THE RATES USED. IN THIS CASE THE AUTOMATIC OPTIMIZATION FOUND 364 KG/HA OF THE PRODUCT CALLED “55” BEST MET THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE 7 PRODUCTS SELECTED. | 226 |
| FIGURE A5.24 MANUAL DIRECTING OF THE OPTIMIZATION CAN BE DONE BY PRESSING F5 TO BRING UP A LIST OF NUTRIENTS TO OPTIMIZE. | 226 |
| FIGURE A5.25 PRESSING F6 FROM THE NUTRIENT BALANCE SHEET SCREEN GIVES EXPANDED ADVICE ON THE NOTES REGARDING THE VALIDITY OF THE RECOMMENDATION..... | 227 |
| FIGURE A5.26 HAVING MADE A BASAL RECOMMENDATION THE SIDE-DRESSING OPTION IS NOW AVAILABLE. ... | 227 |
| FIGURE A5.27 THE SIDE-DRESSING RECOMMENDATION SCREEN OPENS WITH THE NUTRIENT BALANCES LEFT OVER FROM THE BASAL RECOMMENDATION..... | 228 |
| FIGURE A5.28 PRESSING ENTER BRINGS UP THE PRODUCT RANGE TO WORK WITH..... | 228 |
| FIGURE A5.29 SELECT USING THE KEYBOARD ARROW KEYS THE PRODUCT YOU WISH TO USE. PRESSING F3 WILL SHOW THE INTERPRETATION REPORT, PRESSING F4 WILL SHOW THE NUTRIENT CONTENTS OF THE PRODUCTS. | 229 |
| FIGURE A5.30 PRESSING F5 BRINGS UP THE NUTRIENT LIST TO OPTIMIZE ON. USE THE KEYBOARD ARROW KEYS TO SELECT THE NUTRIENT TO OPTIMIZE (FIND THE RATE OF THE PRODUCT WHICH MEETS THE REQUIRED AMOUNT). | 229 |
| FIGURE A5.31 HAVING SELECTED POTASSIUM TO OPTIMIZE THE LINEAR MODEL CALCULATES THAT 117 KG/HA OF THE FERTILIZER PRODUCT POTASSIUM NITRATE APPLIES THE 45 KG/HA OF POTASSIUM REQUIRED STILL LEAVING 114 KG/HA OF NITROGEN TO BE APPLIED. | 230 |
| FIGURE A5.32 USING THE SAME PRINCIPLE AS FOR POTASSIUM, PRESS ENTER TO OPEN THE PRODUCTS LIST AND SELECT THE PRODUCT YOU WISH TO USE - IN THIS CASE UREA. | 230 |
| FIGURE A5.33 PRESS F5 TO OPEN THE NUTRIENT LIST TO OPTIMIZE AND SELECT NITROGEN. | 231 |
| FIGURE A5.34 ON PRESSING ENTER, THE LINEAR MODEL CALCULATES THAT 248 KG/HA OF UREA MEETS THE REQUIREMENT OF 129 KG/HA OF NITROGEN..... | 231 |
| FIGURE A5.35 THE FINAL NUTRIENT BALANCE SHEET FOR THE SIDE-DRESSING RECOMMENDATIONS. IT IS UP TO THE USER TO ACCEPT OR REJECT THE RECOMMENDATION - THE SYSTEM HELPS MAKE THE DECISION WITH ADVICE IN THE NOTES AND MORE IN DEPTH ADVICE AVAILABLE BY PRESSING F6..... | 232 |
| FIGURE A5.36 HAVING ACCEPTED THE RECOMMENDATION, THE RECOMMENDATION REPORT CAN NOW BE GENERATED AND VIEWED. | 232 |
| FIGURE A5.37 THE TOP PORTION OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT. | 233 |
| FIGURE A5.38 A PRINT-OUT OF THE INTERPRETATION REPORT..... | 234 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| FIGURE A5.39 A PRINT-OUT OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS REPORT..... | 235 |
| FIGURE A6.1 THE INTRODUCTORY SCREEN OF SADI..... | 237 |
| FIGURE A6.2 THE MAIN MENU SCREEN OF SADI..... | 237 |
| FIGURE A6.3 SELECT THE CHART MENU OPTION TO SELECT AN INTERPRETATION CHART..... | 238 |
| FIGURE A6.4 A LIST OF CHARTS TO CHOOSE FROM WITH THE CURRENTLY SELECTED CHART AS THE HIGHLIGHTED OPTION. | 238 |
| FIGURE A6.5 WITH A CHART SELECTED, SOIL DATA CAN BE DIRECTLY ENTERED ONTO THE INTERPRETATION REPORT. | 239 |
| FIGURE A6.6 THE INTERPRETATION REPORT WHERE DATA AND INTERPRETATION RESULTS CAN BE ENTERED/EDITED/VIEWED..... | 239 |
| FIGURE A6.7 INTERPRETATION RESULTS MUST BE OK'D BEFORE PRINTING OF THE REPORT IS ALLOWED. | 240 |
| FIGURE A6.8 WITH THE INTERPRETATION COMPLETE, THE USER CAN NOW DEFINE/EDIT AND NPK STRATEGY.. | 240 |
| FIGURE A6.9 THE DEFINE/EDIT NPK STRATEGY SCREEN..... | 241 |
| FIGURE A6.10 THE NPK STRATEGY SCREEN AFTER THE STRATEGY FROM THE INTERPRETATION HAS BEEN USED BY PRESSING THE ON-SCREEN BUTTON..... | 241 |
| FIGURE A6.11 THE RECOMMENDATION DROP-DOWN MENU..... | 242 |
| FIGURE A6.12 THE LIME RECOMMENDATION..... | 242 |
| FIGURE A6.13 THE TOOLS AND NUTRIENT BALANCE SHEET USED TO GENERATE A PLANTING RECOMMENDATION..... | 243 |
| FIGURE A6.14 PRESSING THE "GOTO BEST NPK MIXTURE" BUTTON INVOKES THE LINEAR MODEL AND THE FERTILIZER PRODUCT WHICH BEST MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS IS SELECTED..... | 243 |
| FIGURE A6.15 PRESSING THE "APPLY BEST NPK RATE" BUTTON INVOKES THE LINEAR MODEL AND CALCULATES THE RATE THE OF FERTILIZER PRODUCT WHICH BEST MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS..... | 244 |
| FIGURE A6.16 BECAUSE THERE WAS AN EXCESS OF NITROGEN IN THE PLANTING RECOMMENDATION, THE PLANTING RECOMMENDATION OPTION IS NOT TICKED. | 244 |
| FIGURE A6.17 THE PLANTING RECOMMENDATION IS REVISITED AND THE SOLUTION SPACE EXPLORED. | 245 |
| FIGURE A6.18 THE BALANCE SHEET NOW SHOWS THE NPK RECOMMENDATIONS ARE "OK"..... | 245 |
| FIGURE A6.19 THE "SUMMARY" BUTTON SHOWS WHICH PRODUCTS AND THEIR RATES THAT HAVE BEEN USED IN REACHING A VALID PLANTING RECOMMENDATION. | 246 |
| FIGURE A6.20 THE PLANTING RECOMMENDATION OPTION IS NOW TICKED AS BEING DONE..... | 246 |
| FIGURE A6.21 A SIMILAR PROCESS IS FOLLOWED FOR THE TWO SIDE-DRESSING RECOMMENDATIONS. | 247 |
| FIGURE A6.22 WHEN ALL RECOMMENDATION OPTIONS ARE TICKED, THE REPORT OPTION BECOMES AVAILABLE. | 247 |
| FIGURE A6.23 THE TOP PART OF THE RECOMMENDATION REPORT..... | 248 |
| FIGURE A6.24 A PORTION OF THE BODY OF THE RECOMMENDATION REPORT..... | 248 |
| FIGURE A6.25 REPORTS CANNOT BE PRINTED OUT UNTIL ALL VALIDITY CHECKS ARE COMPLETED..... | 249 |
| FIGURE A6.26 VALIDITY CHECKS CAN BE TOGGLED BUT THEY ALSO TOGGLE THE PRINT OPTION..... | 249 |
| FIGURE A6.27 PRINT-OUT OF THE INTERPRETATION REPORT (WINDOWS VERSION)..... | 250 |
| FIGURE A6.28 PRINT-OUT OF THE RECOMMENDATION REPORT (WINDOWS VERSION). | 251 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|--|----|
| TABLE 2.1 POSSIBLE APPLICATION AREAS FOR EXPERT SYSTEMS IN AGRICULTURE (FROM: GAULTNEY, 1985)..... | 9 |
| TABLE 2.2 EXAMPLES OF NARROW-DOMAIN AGRICULTURAL EXPERT SYSTEMS. | 11 |
| TABLE 2.3 EXAMPLES OF BROAD-DOMAIN EXPERT SYSTEMS. | 13 |
| TABLE 2.4. COMPARISON BETWEEN PROCESS MODELS AND INFERENCE-BASED EXPERT SYSTEMS. (FROM: DAVIS, 1986)..... | 15 |
| TABLE 2.5 SUMMARY OF EXPERT SYSTEMS DEALING WITH FERTILIZER USE. (FROM: CARRASCAL AND PAU, 1992)..... | 19 |

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was carried out while I was employed as an Extension Officer, Horticulture Branch with the Queensland Department of Primary Industries. For the duration of the project I was stationed at Bundaberg and used the computing facilities of the Bundaberg town office. Project travel and library services were financed from Queensland Department of Primary Industries funds.

I am indebted to my primary supervisor, Professor John Smith, for his encouragement and guidance during the project. His enthusiasm and technical competence helped make this project intellectually rewarding for me. Unfortunately Professor Smith did not live to see the completion of this thesis. After the untimely death of Professor Smith, Dr. Saleh A. Wasimi became my principle supervisor and guided me in the final phases of my studies.

Advice and opinions provided by Mr. C.R. McMahon gave me valuable perspectives on the project and were greatly appreciated.

Mr. G.H. Price was the primary source of plant nutritional knowledge incorporated in the expert system and also made constructive suggestions on the expectations that could be placed on the system. Discussions with Mr. E. Coulston, Ms. Kathy Eheia and Ms. Shirley Gregor were also appreciated.

Throughout the project I received support from my wife and family.

DECLARATION

To the best of my knowledge and belief, the work presented in this thesis is original, except as acknowledged in the text. All sources used in my work have been cited, and no attempt has been made to present the contributions of other researchers as my own. The material has not been submitted, either in whole or in part, for a degree at this or any other University.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'S. Fullelove', with a stylized, cursive script.

Garry Fullelove