

STOCHASTIC DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING FOR
SALINITY MANAGEMENT IN
RESERVOIR OPERATION

by

Shah Shreyas Vinochandra

A Thesis Submitted to
The Central Queensland University
in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements
for the Degree of

MASTER OF ENGINEERING

Department of Civil Engineering and Building
James Goldston Faculty of Engineering
Central Queensland University
Rockhampton, Queensland, Australia

February, 1995

ABSTRACT

A stochastic dynamic programming technique for the optimal operation of a reservoir to control salinity in the reservoir and thereby also in the releases, and to meet irrigation and municipal demands is developed. The technique defines the optimal policy for releases to meet salinity and irrigation water supply requirements. The problem for which the approach was specifically developed is characterised by the presence of a strongly stratified, essentially two-layer, condition in a reservoir used to supply irrigation water. The two-layer condition exists over the winter months when cold and heavy saline flows enter the reservoir and flow to the bottom of the reservoir. The two-layer condition continues until mixing of the reservoir occurs in early summer. While the reservoir is stratified, it is possible to flush the saline water out of the reservoir by low level intakes. This flushing reduces the overall salinity level in the reservoir when mixing occurs at the end of winter, and thereby reduces the salinity of irrigation water withdrawn from the reservoir over the summer. However, removing the saline bottom layer also reduces the volume of water available for irrigation. Hence there are limitations on the amount that can be withdrawn to reduce the salinity. The technique is an approach to optimising the performance of the reservoir to meet irrigation demands, while minimising salt concentration in the irrigation water.

Stochastic dynamic programming is used to reflect the uncertainty in the inflows while chance-constraints are used to control the level of salt in the reservoir at the beginning of the irrigation season. Three different conditions or assumptions are considered in modelling the probabilistic nature of the salt inflows to the reservoir: 1) salt load is directly related to the volume of inflow, 2) salt load is independent of the volume of the inflow, and 3) salt load is conditioned on the volume of inflow. The model is demonstrated by application to the Wellington Reservoir in Western Australia for the case in which the salt load is conditioned on the inflow. The results of the application of the model for a range of different combinations of maximum allowable salt concentration and probability of exceeding that are compared to each other and to the release policy generated in an earlier simulation analysis undertaken to manage the salinity question.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
LIST OF FIGURES	iv
LIST OF TABLES	v
CHAPTER	
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. PROBLEM DESCRIPTION	7
3. LITERATURE REVIEW	15
4. MODEL DEVELOPMENT	30
4.1 General Description of Dynamic Programming	30
4.2 Formulation of Model	38
4.2.1 Transformation Equations	38
4.2.2 Graphical Representation	45
4.3 Reservoir Operating Model	61
4.3.1 Recursive Equation and Optimum Total Return	62
4.4 Transition Probabilities	74
4.5 Chance Constraints	85
5. APPLICATION OF THE MODEL	93
6. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	135
REFERENCES	141
APPENDIX - A	146

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 2.1	Location of Wellington Reservoir9
FIGURE 2.2	Catchment clearing and mean annual inflow salinity to the Wellington Reservoir 10
FIGURE 4.1	Single layer to single layer case . . 47
FIGURE 4.2	Double layer to double layer case .. . 52
FIGURE 4.3	Single layer to double layer case . . 55
FIGURE 4.4	Double layer to single layer case . . 60
FIGURE 5.1	Comparison of scour release policies during winter months for Cases A11, A21 and A31 111
FIGURE 5.2	Comparison of scour release policies during winter months for Cases A11, A12 and A13 112
FIGURE 5.3	Comparison of scour release policies during winter months for Cases A21, A22 and A23 128
FIGURE 5.4	Comparison of scour release policies during winter months for Cases A31, A32 and A33 129
FIGURE 5.5	Comparison of release salinities during winter and at the beginning of the summer months for Cases A11, A21 and A31 130
FIGURE 5.6	Comparison of release salinities during winter and at the beginning of the summer months for Cases A21, A22 and A23 131
FIGURE 5.7	Comparison of release salinities during winter and at the beginning of the summer months for Cases A31, A32 and A33 132

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 5.1	Description of Cases (Combination of Maximum Allowable Salt Level and Probability of Being Less than that Level) Examined	94
TABLE 5.2	DYRESM Studies Release Policy	97
TABLE 5.3	Reservoir Inflow and Release Salinities for the DYRESM Studies	102
TABLE 5.4	Case A11 Release Policy	103
TABLE 5.5	Case A11 Average Reservoir Salinity	104
TABLE 5.6	Case A21 Release Policy	105
TABLE 5.7	Case A21 Average Reservoir Salinity	106
TABLE 5.8	Case A31 Release Policy	107
TABLE 5.9	Case A31 Average Reservoir Salinity	108
TABLE 5.10	Case A12 Release Policy	116
TABLE 5.11	Case A12 Average Reservoir Salinity	117
TABLE 5.12	Case A22 Release Policy	118
TABLE 5.13	Case A22 Release Policy	119

TABLE 5.14	Case A32 Release Policy	120
TABLE 5.15	Case A32 Average Reservoir Salinity	121
TABLE 5.16	Case A13 Release Policy	122
TABLE 5.17	Case A13 Average Reservoir Salinity	123
TABLE 5.18	Case A23 Release Policy	124
TABLE 5.19	Case A23 Average Reservoir Salinity	125
TABLE 5.20	Case A33 Release Policy	126
TABLE 5.21	Case A33 Average Reservoir Salinity	127