Keynotes

- (NA) **Network Dogmas and Network Evolution**
Andrew Odlyzko, University of Minnesota, USA
- (NA) **The Australian National Broadband Network: New Opportunities, New Challenges**
Leith H. Campbell, Ovum Consulting, Australia
- (NA) **Failure is an Option**
Geoff Huston, APNIC, Australia
- (NA) **“Mate! Where the \$!@@&~#%!! Is My Network?”**
Michael Boland, Cisco, Australia
- (NA) **Using Multi-Gigabit Networks to Transform Radio Astronomy**
Shaun Amy, CSIRO, Australia

Broadband Access

- 1 **C** **Economic Analysis of Broadband Access for Australian Rural and Remote Areas**
J.C. Ellershaw, J.L. Riding, A.V. Tran, L.J. Guan, T. Smith, University of Melbourne, Australia
- 5 **C** **Optical Hub Network Design for CWDM Access Network**
Jun-ichi Mizusawa, Aoyama Gakuin University, Japan
-

Internet

- 10 **C** **Quantitative Analysis of Incorrectly-Configured Bogon-Filter Detection**
Jon Arnold¹, Olaf Maennel², Ashley Flavel³, Jeremy McMahon³, Matthew Roughan³
¹DSTO, Australia; ²Technische Universität Berlin, Germany; ³University of Adelaide, Australia
- 16 **C** **Minimizing the Number of Congested Links in OSPF Routing**
Mohammed H. Sqalli, Sadiq M. Sait, Syed Asadullah, King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals, Saudi Arabia
- 22 **C** **On the Correlation of Internet Packet Losses**
Hung X. Nguyen, Matthew Roughan, University of Adelaide, Australia
- 28 **C** **An Internet Distance Prediction Service Based on Passive Measurements**
Matthias Scheidegger, Torsten Braun, Benjamin Zahler, University of Bern, Switzerland

Security

- 33 **Performance Evaluation of the Information Sink in a Multi-Probe Statistical Anomaly Detection System**
Thomas Zinner¹, Dirk Staehle¹, Phuoc Tran-Gia¹, Andreas Mäder¹, Kurt Tutschku²
¹University of Würzburg, Germany; ²NICT, Japan
- 39 **SMEEmail — A New Protocol for the Secure E-Mail in Mobile Environments**
Mohsen Toorani, Iran University of Science & Technology, Iran
- 45 **Design & Implementation of a Secure Sensitive Information System for Wireless Mobile Devices**
Xianping Wu, Huy Hoang Ngo, Phu Dung Le, Balasubramaniam Srinivasan, Monash University, Australia
- 51 **Calculating a Cryptographic Primitive: Suitable for Wireless Sensor Networks**
Shan Suthaharan, University of North Carolina at Greensboro, USA
-

Broadband Performance Management

- 57 **On Approximating Throughput in Wireless Systems with Complex Rate Variability and QoS**
P. Fitzpatrick, M. Ivanovich, Telstra, Australia
- 62 **Fixed Broadband Wireless Access Based on HAPS Using COFDM Schemes: Channel Modelling and Performance Evaluation**
Israel R. Palma-Lázgare, José A. Delgado-Penín, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Spain

Poster Session

- 67 **Performance Evaluation of VoIP Services Using Different CODECs Over a UMTS Network**
Jianguo Cao, Mark Gregory, RMIT University, Australia
- 72 **A Simple Cooperative Cyclic Delay Diversity in Wireless Networks**
Jin-Hyuk Song, Jee-Hoon Kim, Jung-In Baik, Hyoung-Kyu Song, Sejong University, Korea
- 76 **Carrier Frequency Offset Mitigation Using MSE-OFDM in Cooperative Communications**
Sung-Ju Lee, Jae-Seon Yoon, Hyoung-Kyu Song, Sejong University, Korea
- 80 **A New Robust Voice Activity Detection Method Based on Genetic Algorithm**
M. Farsinejad, M. Analoui, Iran University of Science & Technology, Iran
- 85 **Multi-Core Security Defense System (MSDS)**
Ashley Chonka¹, Wanlei Zhou¹, Yang Xiang²
¹Deakin University, Australia; ²Central Queensland University, Australia
- 91 **Identification of Malicious Web Pages with Static Heuristics**
Christian Seifert, Ian Welch, Peter Komisarczuk, Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand
- 97 **Challenges and Recent Advances in QoS Provisioning, Signaling, Routing and MAC Protocols for MANETs**
Shivanajay Marwaha, Jadwiga Indulska, Marius Portmann, University of Queensland, Australia
- 103 **Categorized Multimedia Information Sharing Service in IMS**
Xiao Wang, Alcatel-Lucent Technologies, China

Poster Session continued ...

- 106 **Evolutionary Multi-Channel Management Based on Mobility in Multi-Hops Heterogeneous Wireless Networks**
Ming-Shen Jian¹, Shu-Hui Hsu²
¹Shu-Te University, Taiwan; ²International Megatrend Smart Technology Ltd, Taiwan
- 112 **Causal Multi Quantile Noise Spectrum Estimation for Speech Enhancement**
Mohsen Farhadloo¹, Abolghasem Sayadian¹, Meysam Asgari¹, Ali Mostafavi²
¹Amirkabir University of Technology, Iran; ²University of Birmingham, UK
- 116 **A Scheme of Relay Server Selection Methods for NAT Traversal Through Home Gateways**
Toshinori Takabatake, Shonan Institute of Technology, Japan
- 122 **Double-Layered Mobile P2P Systems Using Energy-Efficient Routing Schemes**
Jung-Suk Han, Jin-Woo Song, Taek-Hun Kim, Song-Bong Yang, Yonsei University, Korea
- 128 **Digital Signal Processing for a Ka Band Satellite Beacon Receiver / Radiometer**
Cornelis J. Kikkert, Owen P. Kenny, James Cook University, Australia
- 134 **Performance Improvement of Cooperative Relaying Scheme Based on OFCDM in UWB Channel**
Jung-In Baik, Jee-Hoon Kim, Jin-Hyuk Song, Hyoungh-Kyu Song, Sejong University, Korea

Wireless Communications 1

- 138 **Joint Time-Domain/Frequency-Domain Impulsive Noise Reduction in OFDM-Based Power Line Communications**
Khalifa Al-Mawali, Amin Z. Sadik, Zahir M. Hussain, RMIT University, Australia
- 143 **A Recursive Single Parity Check Product Code with Non-Gaussian Fixed Weight Distribution**
In Jun Park, Tongsok Kim, Yong Cheol Kim, University of Seoul, Korea
- 147 **Alternative Subspace Method for Improved Blind Channel Estimation in Uplink Zero Padded MC-DS/CDMA Systems**
Deolinda Fontes Cardoso¹, Fabian David Backx², Raimundo Sampaio-Neto²
¹CASNAV, Brazil; ²PUC-Rio, Brazil
- 153 **Gaussian Random Fields as a Model for Spatially Correlated Log-Normal Fading**
Daniel Catrein, Rudolf Mathar, RWTH Aachen University, Germany
-

Mixed Wireless Topics 1

- 158 **Radiation Losses from a Single Wire Earth Return Power Line with Bends**
Cornelis J. Kikkert, Geoffrey D. Reid, James Cook University, Australia
- 163 **Indoor Propagation Measurements for Performance Evaluation of IEEE 802.11g**
Nurul I. Sarkar, Eric Lo, Auckland University of Technology, New Zealand
- 169 **Bluetooth Information Exchange Network**
Linda Xiaoning Liu, Adnan Al-Anbuky, AUT University, New Zealand
- 175 **SiPiA: The Shortest Distance to Positive Ideal Attribute for Interface Selection**
Phuoc Nguyen Tran, Nadia Boukhatem, TELECOM ParisTech, France

Ad-Hoc Networks 1

- 180 **Characterising the Interactions Between Unicast and Broadcast in IEEE 802.11 Ad Hoc Networks**
Jerry Chun-Ping Wang, Daniel R. Franklin, Mehran Abolhasan, Farzad Safaei, University of Wollongong, Australia
- 186 **Self-Restraint Admission Control for Adhoc WLANs**
Hushairi Zen, Daryoush Habibi, Iftekhar Ahmad, Edith Cowan University, Australia
- 192 **A Cross-Layer Approach for Using Multiple Radio Channels with Directional Beams in a Suburban Ad Hoc Network**
Sk. Mohammad Rokonuzzaman, Ronald Pose, Iqbal Gondal, Monash University, Australia
- 198 **Power and Placement: Increasing Mobile Adhoc Network Capacity and Power Efficiency**
Robert A. Hunjet, DSTO, Australia

Wireless Communications 2

- 204 **C** **Power Savings Analysis of Clipping and Filtering Method in OFDM Systems**
Arun K. Gurung, Fawaz S. Al-Qahtani, Amin Z. Sadik, Zahir M. Hussain, RMIT University, Australia
- 209 **C** **Reduced Complexity Combined Soft-Decision MLSE Equalization and Decoding**
H.C. Myburgh, L.P. Linde, University of Pretoria, South Africa
- 214 **C** **A Real-Time Input Data Buffering Scheme Based on Time Synchronization for a T-DMB Software Baseband Receiver**
Jeong Han Jeong, Moohong Lee, Byungjik Keum, Jungkeun Kim, Young Serk Shim, Hwang Soo Lee, KAIST, Korea
- 219 **C** **Compressed Sensing Using Chaos Filters**
Linh-Trung Nguyen¹, Van Phong Dinh¹, Zahir M. Hussain², Tue Huynh Huu³, Victoria L. Morgan⁴, John C. Gore⁴
¹Vietnam National University, Vietnam; ²RMIT University, Australia; ³Bac Ha International University, Vietnam; ⁴Vanderbilt University, USA

Sensor Networks

- 224 **Automatic Placement of Actors Within Wireless Sensor-Actor Networks**
Sami J. Habib, Kuwait University, Kuwait
- 230 **A Hybrid Distance-Measurement/Angle-of-Arrival Approach to Localization**
Sunit Kumar Ghosh, Michael J. Ryan, Michael R. Frater, UNSW@ADFA, Australia
- 235 **Source Localisation in Wireless Sensor Networks Based on Optimised Maximum Likelihood**
M. Ziaur Rahman, Daryoush Habibi, Iftekhar Ahmad, Edith Cowan University, Australia
- 240 **Optimizing Sensor Identification in Long-Delay Networks to Account for Maximum Frame Size and Variations in Propagation Speed**
Md. Shafiul Azam Howlader, Michael R. Frater, Michael J. Ryan, UNSW@ADFA, Australia
-

Ad-Hoc Networks 2

- 246 **Dynamic Topology Control Scheme in MANETs for AODV Routing**
Anahita Naghshegar¹, Amir Darehshoorzadeh², Arash Dana¹, Mehdi Dehghan³
¹Islamic Azad University, Iran; ²Iran University of Science & Technology, Iran; ³Amirkabir University of Technology, Iran
- 252 **Ripple Effect: An Improved Geographic Routing with Local Connectivity Information**
Ming Li, Deakin University, Australia
- 258 **A Model of Autonomous Motion in Ad Hoc Networks to Maximise Area Coverage**
J. Göbel¹, A.E. Krzesinski²
¹University of Hamburg, Germany; ²University of Stellenbosch, South Africa

Wireless Communications 3

- 264 **C** **On the Spectral Efficiency for Selection Combiner Diversity (SCD) Over Slow Fading**
Fawaz S. Al-Qahtani¹, Salam A. Zummo², Arun K. Gurung¹, Zahir M. Hussain¹
¹RMIT University, Australia; ²King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals, Saudi Arabia
- 269 **C** **Capacity and Power Control in Spread Spectrum Macro-Diversity Radio Networks Revisited**
Virgilio Rodriguez, Rudolf Mathar, Anke Schmeink, RWTH Aachen University, Germany
- 276 **C** **User and Transmit Antenna Selection for MIMO Broadcast Wireless Channels with Linear Receivers**
M. Arif Khan¹, Rein Vesilo¹, Linda M. Davis², Iain B. Collings³
¹Macquarie University, Australia; ²University of South Australia, Australia; ³CSIRO, Australia
-

Mobility Management

- 282 **C** **How to Improve the Efficiency of IPv6 Handovers in IEEE 802.16 Networks**
Tomasz Mrugalski, Jozef Wozniak, Gdansk University of Technology, Poland
- 288 **C** **Instant Handoffs for Wireless Infrastructure Meshed Networks**
Alexander A. Kist, University of Southern Queensland, Australia
- 294 **C** **Evaluation of Wireless Mesh Network Handoff Approaches for Public Safety and Disaster Recovery Networks**
Ryan Wishart¹, Marius Portmann², Jadwiga Indulska²
¹NICTA, Australia; ²University of Queensland, Australia

Wireless Communications 4

- 300 **On Multi-Channel MAC Protocols in Cognitive Radio Networks**
Alvin Kok-Lim Yau, Peter Komisarczuk, Paul D. Teal, Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand
- 306 **C²net: A Cross-Layer Quality of Service (QoS) Architecture for Cognitive Wireless Ad Hoc Networks**
Alvin Kok-Lim Yau, Peter Komisarczuk, Paul D. Teal, Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand
- 312 **An Improved FEC Scheme for Mobile Wireless Communication at Vehicular Speeds**
Iftekhar Ahmad, Daryoush Habibi, M. Ziaur Rahman, Edith Cowan University, Australia
-

Mixed Wireless Topics 2

- 317 **Improving Mobile Sensor Connectivity Time in the IEEE 802.15.4 Networks**
Kartinah Zen, Daryoush Habibi, Iftekhar Ahmad, Edith Cowan University, Australia
- 321 **Adaptive Emitting Power Control Protocol for Wireless Sensor Networks**
Mathieu David¹, Cheng Guo², Neeli R. Prasad¹
¹Aalborg University, Denmark; ²Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands

Traffic and QoS 1

- 326 **Servicing Best Effort Traffic Using nrtPS on Fixed WiMAX**
Shehan Perera, Harsha Sirisena, University of Canterbury, New Zealand
- 332 **FoF-R Ant: Ant-Based Survivable Routing Scheme for Shared Path Protection**
William Liu, Harsha Sirisena, Krzysztof Pawlikowski, University of Canterbury, New Zealand
- 338 **Adaptive Window Flow Control in MPLS Networks Using Enhanced Kalman Filtering**
Napasool Wongvanich, Harsha Sirisena, University of Canterbury, New Zealand
- 344 **An Intelligent Model to Control Preemption Rate of Instantaneous Request Calls in Networks with Book-Ahead Reservation**
Iftekhar Ahmad¹, Joarder Kamruzzaman², Daryoush Habibi¹, Farzana Islam³
¹Edith Cowan University, Australia; ²Monash University, Australia; ³Asian Institute of Technology, Thailand

Network Services and Applications 1

- 349 **A Study of the Effect of Using Kademlia as an Alternative to Centralized User Location Servers in SIP-Based IP Telephony Systems**
Felipe de Castro Louback Rocha¹, Linnyer Beatriz Ruiz²
¹UFMG, Brazil; ²UEM, Brazil
- 355 **On Path Switching in Overlay Networks**
Aun Haider¹, Akihiro Nakao²
¹NICT, Japan; ²University of Tokyo, Japan
- 361 **Relay Path Selection Approaches in Peer-to-Peer VoIP Systems**
Quang Duc Bui, Andrew Jennings, RMIT University, Australia
- 367 **Performance Evaluation and Improvement of Hybrid TCP Congestion Control Mechanisms in Wireless LAN Environment**
Masafumi Hashimoto, Go Hasegawa, Masayuki Murata, Osaka University, Japan

Network Services and Applications 2

- 373 **Reputation System for User Generated Wireless Podcasting**
Liang Hu¹, Lars Dittmann¹, Jean-Yves Le Boudec²
¹Technical University of Denmark, Denmark; ²ETH Zürich, Switzerland
- 379 **Measuring Available Bandwidth: pathChirp's Chirp Train Structure Remodeled**
Shan Suthaharan, Surender Kumar, University of North Carolina at Greensboro, USA
- 385 **Bandwidth Optimization for Mobile Thin Client Computing Through Graphical Update Caching**
B. Vankeirsbilck, Pieter Simoens, Jeroen De Wachter, Lien Deboosere, Filip De Turck, Bart Dhoedt, Piet Demeester, Ghent University, Belgium
- 391 **Design and Implementation of a Hybrid Remote Display Protocol to Optimize Multimedia Experience on Thin Client Devices**
Pieter Simoens, Paul Praet, B. Vankeirsbilck, Jeroen De Wachter, Lien Deboosere, Filip De Turck, Bart Dhoedt, Piet Demeester, Ghent University, Belgium

Traffic and QoS 2

- 397 **Comparative Study of M/M/1 and M/D/1 Models of a SIP Proxy Server**
Sureshkumar V. Subramanian¹, Rudra Dutta²
¹Cisco, USA; ²North Carolina State University, USA
- 403 **Minimization of Error in Pitch Detection Algorithm Using Discrete Fractional Cosine Transform**
Md. Kamrul Hasan, Md. Lutful Kabir, Bangladesh University of Engineering & Technology, Bangladesh
- 407 **Voice Activity Detection Using Entropy in Spectrum Domain**
Meysam Asgari¹, Abolghasem Sayadian¹, Mohsen Farhadloo¹, Elahe abouie Mehrizi²
¹Amirkabir University of Technology, Iran; ²University of Birmingham, UK
- 411 **Spatialized Teleconferencing: Recording and 'Squeezed' Rendering of Multiple Distributed Sites**
Eva Cheng¹, Bin Cheng¹, Christian Ritz¹, Ian S. Burnett²
¹University of Wollongong, Australia; ²RMIT University, Australia

Organising Committee

General Co-Chairs

Hugh Bradlow - Chief Technology Officer, Telstra
Michael Rumsewicz - University of Adelaide
Alex Zelinsky - Director, CSIRO ICT Centre

Steering Committee

Nigel Bean - University of Adelaide
Arek Dadej - University of South Australia
John Ellershaw - University of Melbourne
Daniel Floreani - Cisco Systems
Richard Harris - Massey University
Aruna Jayasuriya - University of South Australia
Michael Rumsewicz - University of Adelaide

Local Organising Committee

Nigel Bean - University of Adelaide
Arek Dadej - University of South Australia
Angela Hoffmann - University of Adelaide
Aruna Jayasuriya - University of South Australia
Michael Rumsewicz - University of Adelaide

Finance Chair

Peter Shoubridge - Defence Science and Technology Organisation

Publications Chair

Aruna Jayasuriya - University of South Australia

Publicity Chair

Krys Pawlikowski - University of Canterbury, NZ

Technical Program Committee

Technical Program Committee Co-Chairs

Arek Dadej - University of South Australia, Australia

Richard Harris - Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand

Technical Program Committee

Ron Addie - University of Southern Queensland, Toowoomba, Australia

Grenville Armitage - Swinburne University of Technology, Australia

Chandra Athaudage - University of Melbourne, Australia

Nigel Bean - University of Adelaide, Australia

Mike Biggar - Telstra, Australia

Sanjay Kumar - Bose Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

Noureddine Boudriga - University of Carthage, Tunisia

Jim Breen - Monash University, Melbourne, Australia

Nevil Brownlee - University of Auckland, New Zealand

Wojciech Burakowski - Warsaw University of Technology, Poland

Sammy Chan - City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

Andrew Coyle - University of Adelaide, Australia

Peter Davis - Advanced Telecommunications Research (ATR), Japan

Franco Davoli - University of Genova, Italy

Markus Fiedler - Blekinge Institute of Technology, Karlskrona, Sweden

Paul Fitzpatrick - Telstra, Australia

Daniel Floreani - Cisco, Australia

Luigi Fratta - Technical University of Milano, Italy

Steven Gordon - Thammasat University, Thailand

Sami J. Habib - Kuwait University, Kuwait

Aun Haider - Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand

Mahbub Hassan - University of New South Wales, Australia

Wendi Heinzelman - University of Rochester, USA

Zahir Hussain - RMIT University, Melbourne, Australia

Milosh Ivanovich - Telstra, Australia

Abbas Jamalipour - University of Sydney, Australia

Aruna Jayasuriya - University of South Australia, Australia

Alexander Kist - University of Southern Queensland, Toowoomba, Australia

Peter Komisarczuk - Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand

Tony Krzesinski - University of Stellenbosch, South Africa

Marek Kwiatkowski - DSTO, Adelaide, Australia

Kenji Leibnitz - Osaka University, Japan

Pascal Lorenz - University of Haute Alsace, France

Rudolf Mathar - RWTH, Aachen, Germany

Tim Moors - University of NSW, Sydney, Australia

Bruce Northcote - University of Adelaide, Australia

Michal Pioro Lund - University, Sweden

Bernhard Plattner - ETH, Zurich, Switzerland

Marius Portman - University of Queensland, Australia

Zvi Rosberg - CISRO ICT Centre, Sydney, Australia

Matthew Roughan - University of Adelaide, Australia

Michael Rumsewicz - University of Adelaide, Australia

Fariza Sabrina - CSIRO ICT Centre, Marsfield, Australia

Winston Seah - Institute for Infocomm Research, Singapore

Y. Ahmet Sekercioglu - Monash University, Melbourne, Australia

Andy Simmonds - University of Technology, Sydney, Australia

Harsha Sirisena - University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand

Tatsuya Suda - University of California at Irvine, USA

Yutaka Takahashi - Kyoto University, Japan

Ljiljana Trajkovic - Simon Fraser University, Vancouver, Canada

Phuoc Tran-Gia - University of Wuerzburg, Germany

Kurt Tutschku - University of Wuerzburg, Germany

Ian Welch - Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand

Lawrence Wong - National University of Singapore

Jozef Wozniak - Gdansk University of Technology, Poland

Welcome

Dear Colleagues

On behalf of the organising committee, the University of Adelaide and the University of South Australia, we welcome you to the 2008 Australasian Telecommunication Networks and Applications Conference. ATNAC provides an outstanding opportunity for researchers, technologists and management to meet and discuss new ideas and debate future trends in information networking in a stimulating and informal environment.

I would like to extend a very special welcome to our plenary speakers, Shaun Amy, Michael Boland, Leith Campbell, Geoff Huston, Zygmunt Haas and Andrew Odlyzko who have given their time to share their knowledge and experiences with us here this week.

I would also like to thank our keynote speakers, Simon Hackett, Li-Jun Yao, Geof Heydon and Robin Simpson, for their support of our Broadband Day initiative. Given that the Australian National Broadband Network tender closed on November 26, this is a most timely initiative to find out the latest views from Australian telecommunications industry leaders.

This conference would not have been possible without the generous support of our sponsors whose financial support has greatly assisted in keeping the cost of attending this conference to a minimum. Thank you to our Platinum sponsor, Internode, and our Gold sponsors Cisco, the South Australian Government Department of Further Education, Employment, Science and Technology and NICTA.

Finally, we would like to thank the organising and program committee members who made this Conference possible.

The organising committee looks forward to meeting you over the next few days and wishes you a rewarding and pleasant time in Adelaide.

Michael Rumsewicz
ATNAC 2008 General Chair

ATNAC 2008

Hub Page

The 2008 Australasian Telecommunication Networks and Applications Conference

7-10 December 2008 ■ Adelaide, Australia

❖ Welcome from the General Chair	❖ Welcome Page
❖ Organising Committee	❖ Session List
❖ Technical Program Committee	❖ Table of Contents
❖ Plenary Speakers	❖ Author Index: Brief or Detailed
❖ Sponsors	❖ Abstract: Book or Cards
	❖ Manuscripts

Proceedings of the 2008 Australasian Telecommunication Networks and Applications Conference. IEEE Catalog Number CFP0818D-CDR, ISBN 978-1-4244-2603-4, Library of Congress 2008904865. Copyright © 2008 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. However, permission to reprint/republish this material for advertising or promotional purposes or for creating new collective works for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or to reuse any copyrighted component of this work in other works must be obtained from the IEEE. For technical support please contact Causal Productions (info@causalproductions.com).

Legend: [View Manuscript](#)

[\[Search\]](#)

Multi-core Security Defense System (MSDS)

Ashley Chonka and Wanlei Zhou

*School of Engineering & Information Technology
Deakin University
Geelong, 3220, Australia*

Yang Xiang

*School of Management and Information Systems
Central Queensland University
Rockhampton, 4702, Australia*

{ashley, wanlei}@deakin.edu.au and y.xiang@cqu.edu.au

Abstract

Today's security program developers are not only facing an uphill battle of developing and implementing. But now have to take into consideration, the emergence of next generation of multi-core system, and its effect on security application design. In our previous work, we developed a framework called bodyguard. The objective of this framework was to help security software developers, shift from their use of serialized paradigm, to a multi-core paradigm. Working within this paradigm, we developed a security bodyguard system called Farmer. This abstract framework placed particular applications into categories, like Security or Multi-media, which were ran on separate core processors within the multi-core system. With further analysis of the bodyguard paradigm, we found that this paradigm was suitable to be used in other computer science areas, such as Spam filtering and multi-media. In this paper, we update our research work within the bodyguard paradigm, and showed a marked improvement of 110% speedup performance with an average cost of 1.5ms.

Index Terms — Multicore, Ubiquitous Multicore framework, Farmer, Bodyguard Framework

1. Introduction

Computer networks, and the internet, have evolved into high-speed backbones and local-wide area networks. Through these networks, millions of end-users are linked to many critical services. Many

businesses, also, rely upon these critical services to function at full capacity, in order to achieve greater customer satisfaction and greater profits. DDoS (Distributed Denial of Service) attacks are one of the most effective ways to bring these critical systems, and bring huge financial cost to bear to repair.

Currently, most defense systems, such as traceback [1][2], logging [3][4] and messaging [5][6], have difficulty in separating legitimate from illegitimate traffic. Another problem with these defense systems is that they do not really defend the system. Instead they give the means to help identify the attackers [7], without filter out the attack traffic.

In a previous paper [8], we introduced a defense system called Farmer, named after the Kevin Costner Movie 'Bodyguard'. The fundamental idea, behind the bodyguard framework was too separate out different parts of security procedures (IP reconstruction, filter attack traffic, monitoring defense system for attacks) and placed them within their own category, separate from other categories like multi-media or game development. Today's security is either placed in front of the system, like a firewall, or behind the system, like a virus scanner. Though these systems do provide good protection, they usually come with high costs. For example, with Firewalls they block inbound and outbound traffic, which is directed by the host's system administrator. But, firewalls overhead costs, such as memory usage, hard-disk space etc are particular high. With the coming of multi-core system, there is now opportunity for security applications to be placed along side other application, instead of in front or behind them. This gives applications, for example viewing

youtube videos, but without the hindrance of a front end firewall scanning every packet at the beginning. Instead, aside firewall could scan along side your youtube download, in real-time, and if a packet is detected to be suspicious, then the front firewall can become active and filter out the traffic. This is just one advantage, which the bodyguard system could provide.

In this paper, our contribution is to currently update our bodyguard framework, which has now evolved into what we call ubiquitous multicore framework. What we discovered, while working with our bodyguard system, is that we could apply our methodology into other areas of computer science. For example, Spam filtering [9] and multimedia [10][11]. The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section Two briefly covers the related work done in Multicore. The details of UM framework and how it is applied to the bodyguard framework Section Three. Section Four presents the experiments and evaluation that were conducted on our system. Lastly, Section Five covers the conclusion and future work.

2. Related Work

In this section, we discuss very briefly multicore and multimedia, and the two areas where our multicore framework has been applied.

2.1. Multicore and Multimedia

Multicore systems have two or more processing cores integrated into a single chip [12][13][14]. In such a design, processing cores have their own private cache (L1) and a shared common cache (L2). The shared cache and main memory share the bandwidth between all the processing cores. Multimedia co-processor interface was developed by [15], in which they used a multicore system to offload task management jobs from MPU or DSP. From their evaluations conducted on a JPEG file, Ou et al. achieved an overall performance increase of 57%, while they kept their overhead to 1.56% of the DSP core. The UM framework is very different from Ou et al., in which UM is more abstract, by applying applications (not separate sections of a file) to separate core processors.

2.2. Multi-classifier SPAM filter

With the use of the paper by Chonka et. al [8], Rafiq et. al [9], was able to apply the UM framework to a multi-classifier SPAM filter. What we found, was that if you ran each classifier process in parallel with each other, it greatly improved the performance of our multi-classifier architecture. It, also, reduced the false

positives and increase accuracy. The other advantages we were able to achieve are as follows [9]:

- Reduced computation burden of the overall mail server.
- Reduced memory storage, email messages are processed independently from other classifiers.
- When one of the classifiers becomes idle it will directly go into training mode, thereby optimizing resource usage.

3. Background

3.1 Farmer System Design

In our original paper [8], the bodyguard framework was distributed on each router in the network. This was done, in order to provide overall protection (Figure 1). Each bodyguard is a source end (provides security before traffic leaves the router) and destination end protector (provides security as the traffic enters the network). Also in covered in Figure 1, was each bodyguard was in communication with each other. There are three main reasons for this; to allow bodyguards to send updated security information to each other (new attacks that each has encountered, for example), send security information down to the next hop for checking application data as it comes into the router (This is to provide better performance, by breaking up the security and application data), monitors the performance of each other (So if a successful attack brings down a bodyguard, the next hop router is prepared to handle the security). Farmer's objectives are as follows:

1. To protect the system, while allowing applications full performance potential.
2. If an attack is discovered, the front bodyguard sub-system will be initiated, which will affect the performance ratio of the application, but will not affect the other applications on the host. The affected application performance ratio will be kept to a minimum, while the security issue is resolved.
3. That all security process generated by side and front bodyguard sub-system are handled by the Security Cores.

Figure 1. System Architecture of Farmer

Figure 2. Ubiquitous Multicore Framework

Ubiquitous Multicore (UM) Framework

The Ubiquitous Multicore Framework is built from a divide-and-conquer approach [16], by dividing our applications and placing them on separate core processors (Figure 2). [Note: UM is not the new SMP] Each application will run in parallel with each other, exchanging information when necessary. The application core assigner (ACA), assigns the application either on behalf of the user, or the user can select from the core(s) that are available. Once an application is assigned to a core, depending on the application program, a number of jobs or threads can then be executed on this core processor.

Applied UM Algorithm to Bodyguard Framework

Our contribution in this paper, to give a further analysis of Mathematical Partition model [10]. We follow this, by conducting a short experiments of the UM Framework, through the use of MPI. The Mathematical Partition Model essentially, consists of only computation, but we do assume a minimum of communication is required for the 4 applications.

Mathematical Partition Model

The MPM is adapted and modified from the partition analysis of [19][20], in which they analysed the speedup performance, computation and communication cost and execution times of their partition. We briefly covered the partition model in [10], in which we now further extend.

$$t_{com1} = \frac{n(cp-1)}{cp} t_{msgdata} \quad (1)$$

where $t_{msgdata}$ is the transmission time for a data message sent over broadcasting, and n is the number

of computations used, and cp is the core processor that have been selected to be used. Computational time is represented by counting the number of computational steps, usually if all processors are used then just one process computation is necessary, it is as follows:

$$t_{comp} = f(n, cp) \quad (2)$$

Communication Time is depended upon the number of messages, size of the message, communication infrastructure (communication and network):

$$t_{com} = t_{init_startup} + wt_{msgdata} \quad (3)$$

$t_{init_startup}$ is the message latency, which is the time it takes for a message to be sent with no data. The data messages sent via each partition is found in the formula:

$$t_{com2} = (\log cp) t_{msgdata} \quad (4)$$

For the total communication time is as follows:

$$t_{com} = t_{com1} + t_{com2} = \frac{n(cp-1)}{cp} t_{msgdata} + (\log cp) t_{msgdata} \quad (5)$$

The computation formula for the 4 partition applications at the end of the partition phase (step 6) is as follows:

$$t_{comp} = \frac{n}{cp} \quad (6)$$

This gives us the Overall Execution Time for the 4 partition applications in the following formula:

$$t_p = \left[\frac{n(cp-1)}{cp} + \log cp \right] t_{msgdata} + \frac{n}{cp} + \log cp \quad (7)$$

The very best speedup we could expect, when the 4 partitioned applications have completed their computations, is as follows:

$$\frac{n-1}{((n/cp)(cp-1) + \log cp) t_{msgdata} + n/cp + \log cp} \quad (8)$$

The actually speedup will be less than this due to partition phase; computation/communication (c/c) ratio is as follows:

$$\frac{n/cp + \log cp}{((n/cp)(cp-1) + \log cp) t_{msgdata}} \quad (9)$$

For load balancing we use the Mandelbrot computation [16], in which if the maximum performance (mp) is reached for the processor, it will then search for another core processor to continue the work.

$$T_s \leq mp * m \quad (10)$$

To partition the application correctly we use the following three phases:

Phase 1:

$$t_{comm1} = (p-1)(t_{startup} + t_{data}) \quad (11)$$

Phase 2:

$$t_{comp} \leq \frac{mp * n}{p-1} \quad (12)$$

Phase 3:

$$t_{comm2} = u(t_{startup} + vt_{data}) \quad (13)$$

In order to maintain the highest speedup and computation/communication ratio we use the Overall Execution Time(14), Speedup factor (15), C/C ratio (16):

$$t_p \leq \frac{mp * n}{p-1} + (p-1)(t_{startup} + t_{data}) + k \quad (14)$$

$$\frac{t_s}{t_p} = \frac{mp * n}{\frac{mp * n}{p-1} + (p-1)(t_{startup} + t_{data}) + k} \quad (15)$$

$$\frac{mp * n}{(p-1)((p-1)(t_{startup} + t_{data}) + k)} \quad (16)$$

4. Performance Evaluation

4.1 Performance Analysis

To assess the performance of our multicore system, we used the same performance outline in [10]. In which, we compared the two kernel benchmarks. The hardware we used was, an Intel Core 2 Quad Q6600 2.4GHz Quad Core Processor, 2 GB of RAM and 2 300GB SATA hard-drives. The kernel under measurement was 2.6.22.14.72 fc6. To gather computational data, we included timers with our application, in order to record execution times. Communication time is depended upon the number of messages, the size of the message and the interconnection speed. We have decided to set the standard to 1ms and computational data is assumed to be .1ms less then execution time.

4.2. Simulation Setup

4.2.1 Benchmark factors

Our benchmarks are also from our [10], in which the execution times t_s , computational time t_{com} , and communication time t_{com} , can be used to establish the speedup factor (17) and computation/communication ratio (18) from a single core to multicore system.

$$\frac{t_s}{t_{cp}} = \frac{t_s}{t_{comp} + t_{com}} \quad (17)$$

Where t_s will stand for execution time on a single core processor (t_{cp}), this includes computation time and communication time.

$$\frac{t_{comp}}{t_{com}} \quad (18)$$

Apart from speedup and the Computation and Communication ratios, we also evaluate the UM algorithm, through the use of Time Complexity or “big-oh”, also referred to as “order of magnitude” [12].

$$f(x) = O(g(x)) \quad (19)$$

$$[0 \leq f(x) \leq cg(x)] \text{ for all } x \geq 0$$

Where $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are functions of x . A positive constant, c , has to exist for all $x \geq x_0$ otherwise it is zero. To evaluate Time complexity, we use the total sum of computation and communication (formula 11)

$$(n/\varphi+1)(2t_{startup} + (n/\varphi+1)t_{msgdata}) \quad (20)$$

Where n is the number of threads on each core processor.

4.3. Experimentation

To give us a baseline of comparison, we wrote a program using MPI (19), in which the program gives us a “perfect” example of parallel programming. The results shown in table 1 are speedup that we were able to achieve, which was 110%. From table 1 we then do a comparison of previous results from paper [8], in which we selected the best of our results and show them along side table 1 (See Table 2). To make the comparison fair, we used the same computation and communication time from the MPI program, for our multicore program. What the results from Table 2 shows, is that our MPI program use’s multicore technology with greater efficiency, then our previous multi-core program. But the reason for this greater efficiency, was due to the fact that we wrote our multicore program in C++ only.

In our second experiment we trained up Farmer, which contains our Back Propagation Neural Network Filter (placed on core 2), in order to detect and filter DDoS attack traffic. To train up our Neural Network, we used the dataset from the week 2, 1998 DARPA intrusion detection evaluation set at Lincoln Laboratory, MIT [17]. The data sets from MIT came in TCP dump format, so we extracted the features we needed and insert them into a MySQL database. These features included SrcIP, DestIP, SrcPort, DestPort and

	Core 1	Core 2	Core 3	Core 4
Exe Time	1.5ms	1.4ms	1.3ms	1.4ms
Comp Time	.3ms	.3ms	.2ms	.3ms
Comm Time	1ms	1ms	1ms	1ms
Speed Ratio	115%	108%	108%	108%
C/C	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3
Time Complex	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5
Cost	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.4
Cost-Optimal	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7

Table 1. Results of speedup and the costs, which show an average increase of 110% at the average cost of 1.4ms

MPI (MC)	Core 1	Core 2	Core 3	Core 4
Exe Time	1.10ms	1.15ms	1.11ms	1.11ms
Comp Time	0ms	.04ms	.01ms	.01ms
Comm Time	1ms	1ms	1ms	1ms
Speed Ratio	110%	111%	110%	110%
C/C	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3
Cost	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.4

Table 2. Results of speedup and the costs, which show an average increase of 110% at the average cost of 1.4ms for the MPI over our previous Multicore result.

the length of time. We added an extra field to the table for the decision, 0 for legitimate and 1 for illegitimate. The result shown in Figure 3, was that, we were able to achieve a +90% of the known attack traffic, with an average of 6 false positives per test. This means that our security detection is quite sensitive in detecting and Network against the test data provide by [18]. filtering out DDoS attack traffic. To confirm this result we then further our experiment by testing our Neural

Figure 3. Test Results of our Neural Network archived a better than average (94%) result, with an average of 6 false positives per test (5 days of tests were conducted from the MIT Dataset).

5. Problem and Future Work

In this section, we discuss briefly a problem within our UM Framework in regards to our defense system, and a possible solution to this problem. One of the area's of defense systems is accuracy [18]. Though, the result shown in Figure 3, displays a high accuracy rate, this result was not due to use of UM framework but instead due to efficiency of Back Propagation Model of our Neural Network. What we propose is a prediction that accuracy can be improved using the UM Framework by monitoring the efficiency of other core processors. When a core is either below an efficiency level of an assumed 30%, then redundant Neural Network would then use these other core processors in training and detecting DDoS attack traffic. In other words we have redundant detection filters setup behind the main programs on the core processors, ready to startup when the efficiency level has been reached (or when an emergency arises due to high attack rates for example).

This would have three major benefits; firstly, accuracy would be improved upon (This needs to be confirmed). Secondly, greater efficiency of core processors, and lastly, having these redundant filters available during a particular difficult DDoS flood attack would ease the resources on the main filter (placed on core 2). The problem with this future work is, does it violate the UM Framework? We would answer, No, to the question because we still have the main programs each assigned to the core processors. We just have the redundant systems in place, to "replace" the main programs until such time that the main program efficiency level is low, thereby freeing up core processing time.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we further extended upon our previous work within multicore defense system, by applying the UM Framework to our Bodyguard Defense System. The goal of such a security system is to use the new multicore machines that are coming out, but also, with these machines they can be used to solve some of the many problems of computer security. Based on the results, we have showed our defense system has an improved performance average of 110%, through the use of MPI [19]. We, also, showed our test results of Farmer's side bodyguard (Back Propagation Neural Network), which would than tell the forward bodyguard to filter the attack traffic detected. The results show, based on 10 tests that we conducted over 4 hours of training the system. The result we achieved

was an average of 94% of attack traffic detected, with an average of 6 false positives per test.

10. References

[1] Savage, S., Wetherall, D., Karlin, A., and Anderson, T., (2001), ‘*Practical Network Support for IP Traceback*’, SIGCOMM’00, Stockholm, Sweden, 2000

[2] Belenky, A., and Ansari, N., ‘*Tracing Multiple Attackers with Deterministic Packet Marking (DPM)*’, Proc. of IEEE Pacific Rim Conference on Communications, Computers and Signal Processing

[3] Snoeren, A.C., et al., (2002), “Single-Packet IP Traceback,” *IEEE/ACM Trans. Networking*, vol. 10, no. 6, 2002, pp. 721–734.

[4] Baba, T., and Matsuda, S., (2002). “Tracing Network Attacks to Their Sources,” *IEEE Internet Computing*, vol. 6, no. 3, 2002

[5] Bellovin, S., Leech, M., and Taylor, T., (2003), ‘ICMP Traceback Messages,’ Internet Draft, Internet Eng. Task Force, 2003; work in progress.

[6] Mankin, A., Massey, D., Wu, C.L., Wu S.F and Zhang, L., (2001), “On Design and Evaluation of ‘Intention-Driven’ ICMP Traceback,” *Proc. IEEE Int’l Conf. Computer Comm. and Networks*, IEEE CS Press, 2001. pp. 159–165.

[7] Aljifri, M., (2003), ‘*IP Traceback: A New Denial-of-Service Deterrent?*’ Published By The IEEE Computer Society 1540-7993/03 2003

[8] Chonka, A Zhou, W Knapp, K and Xiang, Y, (2008), "Protecting Information Systems from DDoS Attack Using Multicore Methodology", *IEEE 8th International Conference on Computer and Information Technology*, IEEE, 2008.

[9] Islam, R. M.D, Singh, J, Zhou, W., and Chonka, A., (2008) , “Multi-Classifer Classification of Spam Email on a Multicore Architecture”, Proceedings of IFIP International Conference on Network and Parallel Computing, 2008

[10] Chonka, A, Zhou, W, and Ngo, L, (2008), “Multi-core Defense System (MSDS) for Protecting Computer Infrastructure against DDoS attacks”, IEEE Proceedings of PDCAT2008.

[11] Chonka, A, Zhou, W, and Ngo, L, (2008), “Ubiquitous Multicore (UM) Methodology for Multimedia, Proceeding of International Symposium on Computer Science and its Applications

[12] Multi-Core from Intel – Products and Platforms. <http://www.intel.com/multi-core/products.htm>, 2006.

[13] AMD Multi-Core Products. <http://multicore.amd.com/en/Products/>, 2006.

[14] Gorder, P.M, (2007), ‘*Multicore processors for science and engineering*’, IEEE CS and the AIP, 1521-9615/07/March/April 2007

[15] Ou, S.H., Lin, T.J., Deng, X.S., Zhuo, Z.H., Liu, C.W., (2008), “Multithreaded coprocessor interface for multi-core multimedia SoC”, Proceedings of the 2008 conference on Asia and South Pacific design automation, Seoul, Korea SESSION: University LSI design contest, Pages 115-116, ISBN:978-1-4244-1922-7, 2008

[16] JaJa, J. (1992), ‘*An Introduction to Parallel Algorithms*’, Addison Wesley, Reading, MA

[17] MIT 1998 DARPA Intrusion Detection Evaluation Data Set, <http://www.ll.mit.edu/mission/communications/ist/index.html>

[18] Xiang, Y., and Zhou, W., (2004), ‘Trace IP packets by flexible deterministic packet marking (FDPM)’, IP Operations and Management, 2004. Proceedings IEEE Workshop on 11-13 Oct. 2004

[19] Gropp, W., Lusk, E, Skjellum, A, (1996), “*Using MPI: Portable Parallel Programming with the Message-Passing Interface*”, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1994.

[20] Foster, I, (1994), “*Designing and Building Parallel Programs: concepts and tools for parallel software engineering*”, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, (1994)

[21] Wilkson, B & Allen, M, (2005), “*Parallel Programming: Techniques and Applications using network workstations and parallel computers*”, Pearson Education, Pearson Prentice Hall, (2005)